arXiv:1407.6524v1 [math.DS] 24 Jul 2014

# A methodology for obtaining asymptotic estimates for the exponentially small splitting of separatrices to whiskered tori with quadratic frequencies

**Amadeu Delshams, Marina Gonchenko, and Pere Gutiérrez**

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada I, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,
amadeu.delshams@upc.edu, pere.gutierrez@upc.edu

Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin,
gonchenk@math.tu-berlin.de

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish MINECO-FEDER grant MTM2012-31714 and the Catalan grant 2009SGR859. The author MG has also been supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center TRR 109 "Discretization in Geometry and Dynamics".

## Introduction

The aim of this work is to provide asymptotic estimates for the splitting of separatrices in a perturbed 3-degree-of-freedom Hamiltonian system, associated to a 2-dimensional whiskered torus (invariant hyperbolic torus) whose frequency ratio is a quadratic irrational number. We show that the dependence of the asymptotic estimates on the perturbation parameter is described by some functions which satisfy a periodicity property, and whose behavior depends strongly on the arithmetic properties of the frequencies.

First, we describe the Hamiltonian system to be studied. It is also considered in [**6**], as a generalization of the famous Arnold's example [**1**], and provides a model for the behavior of a nearly-integrable Hamiltonian system in the vicinity of a single resonance (see [**3**] for a motivation). In canonical coordinates $(x, y, \varphi, I) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, we consider a perturbed Hamiltonian

$$H(x, y, \varphi, I) = H_0(x, y, I) + \mu H_1(x, \varphi). \tag{1}$$

$$H_0(x, y, I) = \langle \omega_\varepsilon, I \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Lambda I, I \rangle + \frac{y^2}{2} + \cos x - 1, \tag{2}$$

$$H_1(x, \varphi) = \cos x \cdot \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \mathrm{e}^{-\rho|k|} \cos(\langle k, \varphi \rangle - \sigma_k). \tag{3}$$

For the integrable Hamiltonian $H_0$, we consider a vector of *fast frequencies*

$$\omega_\varepsilon = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,, \qquad \omega = (1, \Omega), \tag{4}$$

where the frequency ratio $\Omega$ is a *quadratic* irrational number. In this way, our system has two parameters $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu$, but we assume them linked by a relation of kind $\mu = \varepsilon^p$, $p > 0$ (the smaller $p$ the better). Thus, if we consider $\varepsilon$ as the unique parameter, we have a *singular* or *weakly hyperbolic* problem for $\varepsilon \to 0$ (see [**3**] for a discussion about singular and regular problems).

On the other hand, notice that $H_0$ consists of a classical pendulum and 2 rotors (in the coordinates $x, y$ and $\varphi, I$ respectively). Then, we see that $H_0$ has a family of

2-dimensional whiskered tori, with coincident whiskers (invariant manifolds). Such tori can be indexed by the (constant) action $I$, and have frequency vectors $\omega_\varepsilon + \Lambda I$. We assume that the matrix $\Lambda$ is such that the condition of *isoenergetic nondegeneracy* is satisfied (see for instance [**6**]). Among the tori, we fix our attention on the torus given by $I = 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}_0 : \qquad (0, 0, \theta, 0), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

whose inner flow is given, in this parameterization, by $\dot\theta = \omega_\varepsilon$. This torus has a homoclinic whisker (i.e. coincident stable and unstable whiskers),

$$\mathcal{W}_0 : \qquad (x_0(s), y_0(s), \theta, 0), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},\ \theta \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where $x_0(s) = 4 \arctan \mathrm{e}^s$, $y_0(s) = 2/\cosh s$ (the upper separatrix of the classical pendulum). The inner flow on $\mathcal{W}_0$ is given by $\dot s = 1$, $\dot\theta = \omega_\varepsilon$ .

Concerning the perturbation $H_1$, it is given by a constant $\rho > 0$ (the complex width of analyticity in the angles $\varphi$), and phases $\sigma_k$ that, for the purpose of this work, can be chosen arbitrarily.

Under the hypotheses described, the *hyperbolic KAM theorem* (see for instance [**8**]) can be applied to the perturbed Hamiltonian (1–3). We have that, for $\mu \neq 0$ small enough, the whiskered torus $\mathcal{T}_0$ persists with some shift and deformation giving rise to a perturbed torus $\mathcal{T}$, with perturbed local stable and unstable whiskers.

Such local whiskers can be extended to global whiskers $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{s}}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{u}}$ but, in general, for $\mu \neq 0$ they do not coincide anymore, and one can introduce a *splitting function* giving the distance between the whiskers in the directions of the actions $I \in \mathbb{R}^2$: denoting $\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{s,u}}(\theta)$ parameterizations of a transverse section of both whiskers, one can define $\mathcal{M}(\theta) := \mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{u}}(\theta) - \mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{s}}(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^2$. In fact, this function turns out to be the gradient of the (scalar) *splitting potential*: $\mathcal{M}(\theta) = \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ (see [**2**, §5.2], and also [**7**]).

In (4), we deal with the following 24 quadratic numbers

$$[\bar 1]\,, [\bar 2]\,, \ \ldots,\ [\overline{13}]\,, [\overline{1,2}]\,, \ \ldots,\ [\overline{1,12}]\,, \tag{5}$$

where we denote a quadratic number according to its periodic part in the continued fraction (see (8)).

Next, we establish the *main result* of this work, providing two types of *asymptotic estimates* for the splitting, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. One one hand, we give an estimate for the *maximal splitting distance*, i.e. for the maximum of $|\mathcal{M}(\theta)|$, $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^2$. On the other hand, we show that for most values of $\varepsilon \to 0$ there exist 4 transverse homoclinic orbits, associated to simple zeros $\theta_*$ of $\mathcal{M}(\theta)$ (i.e. nondegenerate critical points of $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$) and, for such homoclinic orbits, we obtain an estimate for the *transversality* of the splitting, given by the minimum eigenvalue (in modulus) of the matrices $\mathrm{D}\mathcal{M}(\theta_*)$.

We use the notation $f \sim g$ if we can bound $c_1|g| \leq |f| \leq c_2|g|$ with positive constants $c_1, c_2$ not depending on $\varepsilon$, $\mu$.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume the conditions described above for the Hamiltonian (1–3), and that $\varepsilon$ is small enough and $\mu = \varepsilon^p$, $p > 3$. Then, there exist continued functions $h_1(\varepsilon)$ and $h_2(\varepsilon)$ (defined in (17)), periodic in $\ln \varepsilon$ and satisfying $1 \leq h_1(\varepsilon) \leq h_2(\varepsilon)$, and a positive constant $C_0$ (given in (16)), such that:*

(a) *for the maximal splitting distance, we have the estimate*

$$\max_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}^2} |\mathcal{M}(\theta)| \sim \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{C_0 h_1(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{1/4}} \right\};$$

(b) *the splitting function $\mathcal{M}(\theta)$ has exactly 4 zeros $\theta_*$, all simple, for all $\varepsilon$ except for a small neighborhood of a finite number of geometric sequences of $\varepsilon$;*
(c) *at each zero $\theta_*$ of $\mathcal{M}(\theta)$, the minimal eigenvalue of $\mathrm{D}\mathcal{M}(\theta_*)$ satisfies*

$$m_* \sim \mu\varepsilon^{1/4} \exp\left\{-\frac{C_0 h_2(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{1/4}}\right\}.$$

For the proof of this theorem, we apply the *Poincaré–Melnikov method*, which provides a first order approximation

$$\mathcal{M}(\theta) = \mu\nabla L(\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2) \tag{6}$$

in terms of the *Melnikov potential*, which can be defined by integrating the perturbation $H_1$ along the trajectories of the unperturbed homoclinic whisker $\mathcal{W}_0$:

$$L(\theta) := -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_1(x_0(t), \theta + \omega_\varepsilon t)\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{7}$$

Since this first order approximation is exponentially small in $\varepsilon$, in principle the approximation (6) cannot be directly applied in our singular problem with $\mu = \varepsilon^p$. However, using suitable bounds for the error term $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$, given in [**6**], one can see that for $p > 3$ the first order approximation given by the Melnikov potential overcomes the error term and provides the right asymptotic estimates for the splitting. Such estimates come from the size of *dominant harmonics* in the Fourier expansion of (7), and studying their dependence on $\varepsilon$. More precisely, to estimate the maximal splitting one dominant harmonic is enough and, to estimate the transversality of the splitting, two dominant harmonics are required (excluding the values of $\varepsilon$ such that the second and third harmonics are of the same magnitude, which could give rise to bifurcations in the homoclinic orbits and would require a further study).

The remaining sections of this work are devoted to the definition of the functions $h_1(\varepsilon)$ and $h_2(\varepsilon)$, putting emphasis on their dependence on the arithmetic properties of the quadratic number $\Omega$.

## Continued fractions and resonant sequences

We review briefly the technique developed in [**4**] for studying the resonances of quadratic frequencies. Let $0 < \Omega < 1$ be a quadratic irrational number. It is well-known that it has an infinite continued fraction

$$\Omega = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{a_3 + \cdots}}}, \qquad a_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,\ n \geq 1 \quad (\text{and } a_0 = 0), \tag{8}$$

that is that is *eventually periodic*, i.e., periodic starting at some $a_l$. For a purely $m$-periodic continued fraction $\Omega = [\overline{a_1, \ldots, a_m}]$ we introduce the matrix

$$U = (-1)^m A_1^{-1} \cdots A_m^{-1}, \text{ where } A_l = \begin{pmatrix} a_l & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l = 1, \ldots, m.$$

It is well-known that quadratic vectors satisfy a *Diophantine condition*

$$|\langle k, \omega\rangle| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}.$$

With this in mind, we define the *"numerators"*

$$\gamma_k := |\langle k, \omega\rangle| \cdot |k|, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\} \tag{9}$$

(for integer vectors, we use the norm $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_1$). Our aim is to find the integer vectors $k$ which give the smallest values $\gamma_k$, we call such vectors $k$ the *primary resonances*.

All vectors $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with $|\langle k, \omega\rangle| < 1/2$ are subdivided into *resonant sequences*:

$$s(j,n) := U^n k^0(j), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{10}$$

where the initial vector $k^0(j) = (-\operatorname{rint}(j\Omega), j)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} < |\langle k^0(j), \omega\rangle| < \frac{1}{2}, \tag{11}$$

$\lambda$ being the eigenvalue of $U$ with $\lambda > 1$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfying (11), it was proved in [**4**, Th. 2] (see also [**5**]) that, asymptotically, the resonant sequence $s(j,n)$ exhibits a geometric growth and the sequence $\gamma_{s(j,n)}$ has a limit $\gamma_j^*$:

$$|s(j,n)| = K_j \lambda^n + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-n}), \quad \gamma_{s(j,n)} = \gamma_j^* + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2n}), \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{12}$$

where $K_j$ and $\gamma_j^*$ can be determined explicitly for each resonant sequence (see explicit formulas in [**4**]). We select the minimal of $\gamma_j^*$:

$$\gamma^* := \liminf_{|k|\to\infty} \gamma_k = \min_j \gamma_j^* = \gamma_{j_0}^* > 0. \tag{13}$$

The integer vectors of the corresponding sequence $s(j_0, n)$ are *the primary resonances*, and we call the *secondary resonances* the integer vectors belonging to any of the remaining resonant sequences $s(j,n)$, $j \neq j_0$. We also call by *the main secondary resonances* the sequence $s(j_1, n)$ which is linearly independent with $s(j_0, n)$ and gives the smallest limit $\gamma_{j_1}^*$ among the secondary resonances.

## The functions $h_1(\varepsilon)$ and $h_2(\varepsilon)$

Taking into account the form of $H_1$ in (3), we present the Melnikov potential (7) in its Fourier expansion. Using (4) and (9), we present the coefficients in the form

$$L_k = \frac{2\pi|\langle k, \omega_\varepsilon\rangle|\, \mathrm{e}^{-\rho|k|}}{\sinh|\frac{\pi}{2}\langle k, \omega_\varepsilon\rangle|} = \alpha_k\, \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_k}, \qquad \alpha_k \approx \frac{4\pi\gamma_k}{|k|\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \quad \beta_k = \rho|k| + \frac{\pi\gamma_k}{2|k|\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \tag{14}$$

For any given $\varepsilon$, we find the dominant harmonics $L_k(\varepsilon)$ which correspond essentially to the smallest exponents $\beta_k(\varepsilon)$.

The exponents $\beta_k(\varepsilon)$ in (14) can be presented in the form

$$\beta_k(\varepsilon) = \frac{C_0}{\varepsilon^{1/4}}\, g_k(\varepsilon), \qquad g_k(\varepsilon) := \frac{\tilde\gamma_k^{1/2}}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_k}\right)^{1/4} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1/4}\right], \tag{15}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_k := D_0\, \frac{\tilde\gamma_k^2}{|k|^4}, \qquad \tilde\gamma_k = \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma^*}, \qquad C_0 = (2\pi\rho\gamma^*)^{1/2}, \qquad D_0 = \left(\frac{\pi\gamma^*}{2\rho}\right)^2. \tag{16}$$

Since the coefficients $L_k$ are exponentially small in $\varepsilon$, it is more convenient to work with the functions $g_k$, whose smallest values correspond to the largest $L_k$. To this aim, it is useful to consider the graphs of the functions $g_k(\varepsilon)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, in order to detect the minimum of them for a given value of $\varepsilon$.

We know from (15) that the functions $g_k(\varepsilon)$ have their minimum at $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_k$ and the corresponding minimal values are $g_k(\varepsilon_k) = \tilde{\gamma}_k^{1/2}$. For the integer vectors $k = s(j,n)$ belonging to a resonant sequence (10), using the approximations (12), we have

$$\varepsilon_{s(j,n)} \approx \frac{D_0(\tilde{\gamma}_j^*)^2}{K_j^4 \lambda^{4n}}, \quad g_{s(j,n)}(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{(\tilde{\gamma}_j^*)^{1/2}}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{s(j,n)}} \right)^{1/4} + \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{s(j,n)}}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1/4} \right], \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Taking into account such approximations, we have a periodic behavior of the functions with respect to $\ln \varepsilon$, as we see in Figure 1 (where a logarithmic scale for $\varepsilon$ is used).

We define, for any given $\varepsilon$, the function $h_1(\varepsilon)$ and $h_2(\varepsilon)$ as

$$h_1(\varepsilon) := \min_k g_k(\varepsilon) = g_{S_1}(\varepsilon), \quad h_2(\varepsilon) := \min_{k \text{ lin.indep.of } S_1} g_k(\varepsilon) = g_{S_2}(\varepsilon), \tag{17}$$

with some integer vectors $S_1(\varepsilon)$ and $S_2(\varepsilon)$ realizing such minima. The functions are continuous and $4 \ln \lambda$-periodic in $\ln \varepsilon$. It turns out that for the 24 quadratic numbers (5), the integer vector $S_1(\varepsilon)$ providing $h_1(\varepsilon)$ always corresponds to a primary resonance, defined in (13). On the other hand, the vector $S_2(\varepsilon)$ providing $h_2(\varepsilon)$ may correspond to primary or main secondary resonances in different intervals of $\varepsilon$ (see Figure 1 for an illustration for the number $\overline{[1,2]} = \sqrt{3} - 1$). There is a finite number of geometric sequences of $\varepsilon$, where a change in $S_2(\varepsilon)$ occurs. These points require a special study for the transversality and they are excluded in Theorem 1.

## References


[1] V.I. Arnold. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 5(3):581–585, 1964.
[2] A. Delshams and P. Gutiérrez. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 10(4):433–476, 2000.
[3] A. Delshams and P. Gutiérrez. In C.K.R.T. Jones and A.I. Khibnik, editors, *Multiple-Time-Scale Dynamical Systems (Minneapolis, MN, 1997)*, volume 122 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pages 1–27. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
[4] A. Delshams and P. Gutiérrez. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 300:87–121, 2003. (*J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)*, 128(2):2726–2746, 2005).
[5] A. Delshams, M. Gonchenko, and P. Gutiérrez. *Electron.Res.Ann.Math.Sci.*, 21:41–61, 2014.
[6] A. Delshams, P. Gutiérrez, and T.M. Seara. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 11(4):785–826, 2004.
[7] L.H. Eliasson. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 25(1):57–76, 1994.
[8] L. Niederman. *J. Differential Equations*, 161(1):1–41, 2000.




FIGURE 1. *Graphs of the functions $h_1(\varepsilon)$ (solid blue) and $h_2(\varepsilon)$ (dash-dot blue) for $\overline{[1,2]} = \sqrt{3} - 1$. The red lines are the primary functions $g_{s(j_0,n)}(\varepsilon)$, and the green lines correspond to the main secondary functions $g_{s(j_1,n)}(\varepsilon)$.*